

## Status of UNCITRAL Conventions and the New York Convention (see status of UNCITRAL Model Laws below)

States	New York Convention, 1958	Limitation Convention, 1974 <sup>1</sup>	Hamburg Rules, 1978	CISG, 1980	UN Convention on International Bills of Exchange and International Promissory Notes, 1988 <sup>2</sup>	UN Convention on the Liability of Operators of Transport Terminals in International Trade, 1991 <sup>2</sup>	UN Convention on Independent Guarantees and Stand-by Letters of Credit, 1995	UN Convention on the Assignment of Receivables in International Trade, 2001 <sup>2</sup>	Electronic Communications Convention, 2005	Rotterdam Rules, 2008 <sup>2</sup>	Mauritius Convention on Transparency, 2014	Singapore Convention on Mediation, 2018	Beijing Convention on the Judicial Sale of Ships, 2022 <sup>2</sup>
Afghanistan	x											s	
Albania	x		x	x									
Algeria	x												
Andorra	x												
Angola	x												
Antigua and Barbuda	x												s
Argentina	x	x		x									
Armenia	x			x						s		s	
Australia	x			x							x	s	
Austria	x		x	x									
Azerbaijan	x			x					x				
Bahamas	x												
Bahrain	x			x					x			x	
Bangladesh	x												
Barbados	x		x										x
Belarus	x	x		x			x					x	
Belgium	x	x		x							s		s
Belize	x								x				
Benin	x	x		x					x	x	x	s	
Bhutan	x												
Bolivia (Plurinational State of)	x										x		
Bosnia and Herzegovina	x	x		x									
Botswana	x		x										

For detailed information on the status of each text, please consult the status table for that text, available at <https://uncitral.un.org/en/texts>.

1. Some states listed have also adopted the later amended version of the text.

2. Not yet in force.

3. Enacted in selected sub-national jurisdictions.

4. The Model Law forms the basis of or is reflected in the public procurement laws and regulations in the indicated States. These States have used the Model Law and accompanying Guide to Enactment in reforming their public procurement law and systems, though the extent to which the resulting regulatory framework incorporates the provisions of the Model Law varies, as that framework also reflects legal traditions, domestic policy and other objectives.

5. Some states have enacted a previous version of the Model Law.

6. The indicated States have used the Model Law and accompanying Guide to Enactment (and Interpretation) in reforming their cross-border insolvency law and systems, though the extent to which the resulting framework incorporates the provisions of the Model Law varies, as that framework also reflects legal traditions, domestic policy and other objectives.



States	New York Convention, 1958	Limitation Convention, 1974 <sup>1</sup>	Hamburg Rules, 1978	CISG, 1980	UN Convention on International Bills of Exchange and International Promissory Notes, 1988 <sup>2</sup>	UN Convention on the Liability of Operators of Transport Terminals in International Trade, 1991 <sup>2</sup>	UN Convention on Independent Guarantees and Stand-by Letters of Credit, 1995	UN Convention on the Assignment of the Receivables in International Trade, 2001 <sup>2</sup>	Electronic Communications Convention, 2005	Rotterdam Rules, 2008 <sup>2</sup>	Mauritius Convention on Transparency, 2014	Singapore Convention on Mediation, 2018	Beijing Convention on the Judicial Sale of Ships, 2022 <sup>2</sup>
Brazil	x	s	s	x								x	s
Brunei Darussalam	x											s	
Bulgaria	x	s		x									
Burkina Faso	x		x										s
Burundi	x	x	x	x									
Cabo Verde	x												
Cambodia	x												
Cameroon	x		x	x					x	x	x		
Canada	x			x	s						x		
Central African Republic	x								s				
Chad												s	
Chile	x		x	x								s	
China	x			x					s			s	s
Colombia	x			x					s			s	
Comoros	x												s
Congo				x					x	x	s	s	
Cook Islands	x												
Costa Rica	x	s		x								x	
Côte d'Ivoire	x	x											s
Croatia	x			x									s
Cuba	x	x		x									
Cyprus	x			x									s
Czechia	x	x	x	x									
Democratic People's Republic of Korea				x									
Democratic Republic of the Congo	x		s							s		s	
Denmark	x		s	x						s			
Djibouti	x												
Dominica	x												

For detailed information on the status of each text, please consult the status table for that text, available at <https://uncitral.un.org/en/texts>.

1. Some states listed have also adopted the later amended version of the text.

2. Not yet in force.

3. Enacted in selected sub-national jurisdictions.

4. The Model Law forms the basis of or is reflected in the public procurement laws and regulations in the indicated States. These States have used the Model Law and accompanying Guide to Enactment in reforming their public procurement law and systems, though the extent to which the resulting regulatory framework incorporates the provisions of the Model Law varies, as that framework also reflects legal traditions, domestic policy and other objectives.

5. Some states have enacted a previous version of the Model Law.

6. The indicated States have used the Model Law and accompanying Guide to Enactment (and Interpretation) in reforming their cross-border insolvency law and systems, though the extent to which the resulting framework incorporates the provisions of the Model Law varies, as that framework also reflects legal traditions, domestic policy and other objectives.



States	New York Convention, 1958	Limitation Convention, 1974 <sup>1</sup>	Hamburg Rules, 1978	CISG, 1980	UN Convention on International Bills of Exchange and International Promissory Notes, 1988 <sup>2</sup>	UN Convention on the Liability of Operators of Transport Terminals in International Trade, 1991 <sup>2</sup>	UN Convention on Independent Guarantees and Stand-by Letters of Credit, 1995	UN Convention on the Assignment of Receivables in International Trade, 2001 <sup>2</sup>	Electronic Communications Convention, 2005	Rotterdam Rules, 2008 <sup>2</sup>	Mauritius Convention on Transparency, 2014	Singapore Convention on Mediation, 2018	Beijing Convention on the Judicial Sale of Ships, 2022 <sup>2</sup>
Dominican Republic	x	x	x	x					x				s
Ecuador	x		x	x			x					x	s
Egypt	x	x	x	x		x							
El Salvador	x			x			x		x				x
Equatorial Guinea													
Eritrea													
Estonia	x			x									
Eswatini												s	
Ethiopia	x												
European Union											x		s
Fiji	x			x					x			x	
Finland	x		s	x							s		
France	x		s	x		s				s	s		
Gabon	x			x	x	x	x			s	s	s	s
Gambia			x								x		
Georgia	x		x	x		x						x	
Germany	x		s	x							s		
Ghana	x	x	s	s						s		s	s
Greece	x			x						s			
Grenada												s	s
Guatemala	x			x									
Guinea	x	x	x	x	x					s			
Guinea-Bissau										s		s	
Guyana	x			x									
Haiti	x											s	
Holy See	x		s										
Honduras	x			x	x				x			x	s
Hungary	x	x	x	x									
Iceland	x			x									
India	x											s	
Indonesia	x												

For detailed information on the status of each text, please consult the status table for that text, available at <https://uncitral.un.org/en/texts>.

1. Some states listed have also adopted the later amended version of the text.

2. Not yet in force.

3. Enacted in selected sub-national jurisdictions.

4. The Model Law forms the basis of or is reflected in the public procurement laws and regulations in the indicated States. These States have used the Model Law and accompanying Guide to Enactment in reforming their public procurement law and systems, though the extent to which the resulting regulatory framework incorporates the provisions of the Model Law varies, as that framework also reflects legal traditions, domestic policy and other objectives.

5. Some states have enacted a previous version of the Model Law.

6. The indicated States have used the Model Law and accompanying Guide to Enactment (and Interpretation) in reforming their cross-border insolvency law and systems, though the extent to which the resulting framework incorporates the provisions of the Model Law varies, as that framework also reflects legal traditions, domestic policy and other objectives.

States	New York Convention, 1958	Limitation Convention, 1974 <sup>1</sup>	Hamburg Rules, 1978	CISG, 1980	UN Convention on International Bills of Exchange and International Promissory Notes, 1988 <sup>2</sup>	UN Convention on the Liability of Operators of Transport Terminals in International Trade, 1991 <sup>2</sup>	UN Convention on Independent Guarantees and Stand-by Letters of Credit, 1995	UN Convention on the Assignment of the Receivables in International Trade, 2001 <sup>2</sup>	Electronic Communications Convention, 2005	Rotterdam Rules, 2008 <sup>2</sup>	Mauritius Convention on Transparency, 2014	Singapore Convention on Mediation, 2018	Beijing Convention on the Judicial Sale of Ships, 2022 <sup>2</sup>
Iran (Islamic Republic of)	x								x			s	
Iraq	x			x							x	s	
Ireland	x												
Israel	x			x								x	
Italy	x			x							s		s
Jamaica	x											s	
Japan	x			x								x	
Jordan	x		x									s	
Kazakhstan	x		x									x	
Kenya	x		x										
Kiribati									x				s
Kuwait	x						x						
Kyrgyzstan	x			x								x	
Lao People's Democratic Republic	x			x								s	
Latvia	x			x									
Lebanon	x		x	x					s				
Lesotho	x		x	x									
Liberia	x	x	x	x	x		x	x					s
Libya													s
Liechtenstein	x			x									
Lithuania	x			x									
Luxembourg	x			x				s		s	s		s
Madagascar	x		s	x				s	s	s	s		
Malawi	x		x										
Malaysia	x											s	
Maldives	x											s	
Mali	x									s			
Malta	x												s
Marshall Islands	x												

For detailed information on the status of each text, please consult the status table for that text, available at <https://uncitral.un.org/en/texts>.

1. Some states listed have also adopted the later amended version of the text.

2. Not yet in force.

3. Enacted in selected sub-national jurisdictions.

4. The Model Law forms the basis of or is reflected in the public procurement laws and regulations in the indicated States. These States have used the Model Law and accompanying Guide to Enactment in reforming their public procurement law and systems, though the extent to which the resulting regulatory framework incorporates the provisions of the Model Law varies, as that framework also reflects legal traditions, domestic policy and other objectives.

5. Some states have enacted a previous version of the Model Law.

6. The indicated States have used the Model Law and accompanying Guide to Enactment (and Interpretation) in reforming their cross-border insolvency law and systems, though the extent to which the resulting framework incorporates the provisions of the Model Law varies, as that framework also reflects legal traditions, domestic policy and other objectives.



States	New York Convention, 1958	Limitation Convention, 1974 <sup>1</sup>	Hamburg Rules, 1978	CISG, 1980	UN Convention on International Bills of Exchange and International Promissory Notes, 1988 <sup>2</sup>	UN Convention on the Liability of Operators of Transport Terminals in International Trade, 1991 <sup>2</sup>	UN Convention on Independent Guarantees and Stand-by Letters of Credit, 1995	UN Convention on the Assignment of the Receivables in International Trade, 2001 <sup>2</sup>	Electronic Communications Convention, 2005	Rotterdam Rules, 2008 <sup>2</sup>	Mauritius Convention on Transparency, 2014	Singapore Convention on Mediation, 2018	Beijing Convention on the Judicial Sale of Ships, 2022 <sup>2</sup>
Mauritania	x			x									
Mauritius	x										x	s	
Mexico	x	x	s	x	x	s							
Micronesia (Federated States of)													
Monaco	x												
Mongolia	x	s		x					x				
Montenegro	x	x		x					x			s	
Morocco	x		x										
Mozambique	x												
Myanmar	x												
Namibia													
Nauru													
Nepal	x												
Netherlands	x			x						s	s		
New Zealand	x			x									
Nicaragua	x	s											
Niger	x									s			
Nigeria	x		x							s		x	
North Macedonia	x			x								s	
Norway	x	x	s	x						s			
Oman	x												
Pakistan	x		s									s	
Palau	x											s	
Panama	x		s				x		s		s		s
Papua New Guinea	x												
Paraguay	x	x	x	x		x			x			x	
Peru	x		x	x									
Philippines	x		s			s			x			s	
Poland	x	x		x						s			

For detailed information on the status of each text, please consult the status table for that text, available at <https://uncitral.un.org/en/texts>.

1. Some states listed have also adopted the later amended version of the text.

2. Not yet in force.

3. Enacted in selected sub-national jurisdictions.

4. The Model Law forms the basis of or is reflected in the public procurement laws and regulations in the indicated States. These States have used the Model Law and accompanying Guide to Enactment in reforming their public procurement law and systems, though the extent to which the resulting regulatory framework incorporates the provisions of the Model Law varies, as that framework also reflects legal traditions, domestic policy and other objectives.

5. Some states have enacted a previous version of the Model Law.

6. The indicated States have used the Model Law and accompanying Guide to Enactment (and Interpretation) in reforming their cross-border insolvency law and systems, though the extent to which the resulting framework incorporates the provisions of the Model Law varies, as that framework also reflects legal traditions, domestic policy and other objectives.

States	New York Convention, 1958	Limitation Convention, 1974 <sup>1</sup>	Hamburg Rules, 1978	CISG, 1980	UN Convention on International Bills of Exchange and International Promissory Notes, 1988 <sup>2</sup>	UN Convention on the Liability of Operators of Transport Terminals in International Trade, 1991 <sup>2</sup>	UN Convention on Independent Guarantees and Stand-by Letters of Credit, 1995	UN Convention on the Assignment of Receivables in International Trade, 2001 <sup>2</sup>	Electronic Communications Convention, 2005	Rotterdam Rules, 2008 <sup>2</sup>	Mauritius Convention on Transparency, 2014	Singapore Convention on Mediation, 2018	Beijing Convention on the Judicial Sale of Ships, 2022 <sup>2</sup>
Portugal	x		s	x									
Qatar	x											x	
Republic of Korea	x			x					s			s	
Republic of Moldova	x	x		x									
Romania	x	x	x	x									
Russian Federation	x	s		x	s				x				
Rwanda	x			x								s	
Saint Kitts and Nevis													
Saint Lucia													
Saint Vincent and the Grenadines	x		x	x									
Samoa												s	
San Marino	x			x									
Sao Tome and Principe	x												s
Saudi Arabia	x			x					s			x	s
Senegal	x		x						s	s			s
Serbia	x	x		x								s	
Seychelles	x												
Sierra Leone	x		x						s			s	s
Singapore	x		s	x					x			x	s
Slovakia	x	x	s	x									
Slovenia	x	x		x									
Solomon Islands													
Somalia													
South Africa	x												
South Sudan													
Spain	x			x		s				x			x
Sri Lanka	x								x			x	
State of Palestine	x			x									
Sudan	x												

For detailed information on the status of each text, please consult the status table for that text, available at <https://uncitral.un.org/en/texts>.

1. Some states listed have also adopted the later amended version of the text.

2. Not yet in force.

3. Enacted in selected sub-national jurisdictions.

4. The Model Law forms the basis of or is reflected in the public procurement laws and regulations in the indicated States. These States have used the Model Law and accompanying Guide to Enactment in reforming their public procurement law and systems, though the extent to which the resulting regulatory framework incorporates the provisions of the Model Law varies, as that framework also reflects legal traditions, domestic policy and other objectives.

5. Some states have enacted a previous version of the Model Law.

6. The indicated States have used the Model Law and accompanying Guide to Enactment (and Interpretation) in reforming their cross-border insolvency law and systems, though the extent to which the resulting framework incorporates the provisions of the Model Law varies, as that framework also reflects legal traditions, domestic policy and other objectives.





States	New York Convention, 1958	Limitation Convention, 1974 <sup>1</sup>	Hamburg Rules, 1978	CISG, 1980	UN Convention on International Bills of Exchange and International Promissory Notes, 1988 <sup>2</sup>	UN Convention on the Liability of Operators of Transport Terminals in International Trade, 1991 <sup>2</sup>	UN Convention on Independent Guarantees and Stand-by Letters of Credit, 1995	UN Convention on the Assignment of the Receivables in International Trade, 2001 <sup>2</sup>	Electronic Communications Convention, 2005	Rotterdam Rules, 2008 <sup>2</sup>	Mauritius Convention on Transparency, 2014	Singapore Convention on Mediation, 2018	Beijing Convention on the Judicial Sale of Ships, 2022 <sup>2</sup>
Suriname	x												
Swaziland													
Sweden	x		s	x						s	s		
Switzerland	x			x						s	x		s
Syrian Arab Republic	x		x	x							s		s
Tajikistan	x												
Thailand	x								x				
Timor-Leste	x											s	
Togo										x			
Tonga	x												
Trinidad and Tobago	x												
Tunisia	x		x				x						
Türkiye	x			x								x	
Turkmenistan	x			x									
Tuvalu									x				
Uganda	x	x	x	x								s	
Ukraine	x	x		x								s	
United Arab Emirates	x												
United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland	x										s	s	
United Republic of Tanzania	x		x										s
United States of America	x	x	s	x	s	s	s	x		s	s	s	
Uruguay	x	x		x								x	
Uzbekistan	x			x									
Vanuatu													
Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of)	x		s	s								s	
Viet Nam	x			x									

For detailed information on the status of each text, please consult the status table for that text, available at <https://uncitral.un.org/en/texts>.

1. Some states listed have also adopted the later amended version of the text.

2. Not yet in force.

3. Enacted in selected sub-national jurisdictions.

4. The Model Law forms the basis of or is reflected in the public procurement laws and regulations in the indicated States. These States have used the Model Law and accompanying Guide to Enactment in reforming their public procurement law and systems, though the extent to which the resulting regulatory framework incorporates the provisions of the Model Law varies, as that framework also reflects legal traditions, domestic policy and other objectives.

5. Some states have enacted a previous version of the Model Law.

6. The indicated States have used the Model Law and accompanying Guide to Enactment (and Interpretation) in reforming their cross-border insolvency law and systems, though the extent to which the resulting framework incorporates the provisions of the Model Law varies, as that framework also reflects legal traditions, domestic policy and other objectives.



States	New York Convention, 1958	Limitation Convention, 1974 <sup>1</sup>	Hamburg Rules, 1978	CISG, 1980	UN Convention on International Bills of Exchange and International Promissory Notes, 1988 <sup>2</sup>	UN Convention on the Liability of Operators of Transport Terminals in International Trade, 1991 <sup>2</sup>	UN Convention on Independent Guarantees and Stand-by Letters of Credit, 1995	UN Convention on the Assignment of the Receivables in International Trade, 2001 <sup>2</sup>	Electronic Communications Convention, 2005	Rotterdam Rules, 2008 <sup>2</sup>	Mauritius Convention on Transparency, 2014	Singapore Convention on Mediation, 2018	Beijing Convention on the Judicial Sale of Ships, 2022 <sup>2</sup>
Yemen													
Zambia	x	x	x	x									
Zimbabwe	x												

## Status of UNCITRAL Model Laws

States	UNCITRAL Model Law on International Commercial Arbitration (1985), with amendments as adopted in 2006 <sup>1</sup>	UNCITRAL Model Law on Procurement of Goods, Construction and Services (1994)	UNCITRAL Model Law on Electronic Commerce (1996)	UNCITRAL Model Law on Cross-Border Insolvency (1997) <sup>6</sup>	UNCITRAL Model Law on Electronic Signatures (2001)	UNCITRAL Model Law on International Commercial Conciliation (2002) (see also 2018 amended version) <sup>1</sup>	UNCITRAL Model Law on Public Procurement (2011) <sup>4</sup>	UNCITRAL Model Law on Secured Transactions (2016)	UNCITRAL Model Law on Electronic Transferable Records (2017)	UNCITRAL Model Law on International Commercial Mediation and International Settlement Agreements Resulting from Mediation (2018)(amending 2002 version)	UNCITRAL model Law on the Use and Cross-Border Recognition of Identity Management and Trust Services (2022)
Afghanistan		x	x		x		x				
Albania	x <sup>1</sup>	x		x		x				x <sup>5</sup>	
Algeria											
Andorra											
Angola				x							
Antigua and Barbuda			x		x						
Argentina	x										
Armenia	x	x					x				
Australia	x <sup>1,3</sup>		x	x				x			
Austria	x										
Azerbaijan	x	x					x				
Bahamas			x								
Bahrain	x <sup>1</sup>		x	x					x		

For detailed information on the status of each text, please consult the status table for that text, available at <https://uncitral.un.org/en/texts>.

1. Some states listed have also adopted the later amended version of the text.

2. Not yet in force.

3. Enacted in selected sub-national jurisdictions.

4. The Model Law forms the basis of or is reflected in the public procurement laws and regulations in the indicated States. These States have used the Model Law and accompanying Guide to Enactment in reforming their public procurement law and systems, though the extent to which the resulting regulatory framework incorporates the provisions of the Model Law varies, as that framework also reflects legal traditions, domestic policy and other objectives.

5. Some states have enacted a previous version of the Model Law.

6. The indicated States have used the Model Law and accompanying Guide to Enactment (and Interpretation) in reforming their cross-border insolvency law and systems, though the extent to which the resulting framework incorporates the provisions of the Model Law varies, as that framework also reflects legal traditions, domestic policy and other objectives.





States	UNCITRAL Model Law on International Commercial Arbitration (1985), with amendments as adopted in 2006 <sup>1</sup>	UNCITRAL Model Law on Procurement of Goods, Construction and Services (1994)	UNCITRAL Model Law on Electronic Commerce (1996)	UNCITRAL Model Law on Cross-Border Insolvency (1997) <sup>6</sup>	UNCITRAL Model Law on Electronic Signatures (2001)	UNCITRAL Model Law on International Commercial Conciliation (2002) (see also 2018 amended version) <sup>1</sup>	UNCITRAL Model Law on Public Procurement (2011) <sup>4</sup>	UNCITRAL Model Law on Secured Transactions (2016)	UNCITRAL Model Law on Electronic Transferable Records (2017)	UNCITRAL Model Law on International Commercial Mediation and International Settlement Agreements Resulting from Mediation (2018)(amending 2002 version)	UNCITRAL model Law on the Use and Cross-Border Recognition of Identity Management and Trust Services (2022)
Bangladesh	x	x	x								
Barbados	x <sup>1</sup>		x		x						
Belarus	x						x				
Belgium	x <sup>1</sup>					x				x <sup>5</sup>	
Belize			x	x					x		
Benin				x		x				x <sup>5</sup>	
Bhutan	x <sup>1</sup>		x		x	x				x <sup>5</sup>	
Bolivia (Plurinational State of)											
Bosnia and Herzegovina											
Botswana			x		x						
Brazil				x							
Brunei Darussalam	x <sup>1</sup>		x								
Bulgaria	x										
Burkina Faso				x		x				x <sup>5</sup>	
Burundi											
Cabo Verde			x		x						
Cambodia	x		x								
Cameroon				x		x				x <sup>5</sup>	
Canada	x <sup>1,3</sup>		x <sup>3</sup>	x		x <sup>3</sup>					
Central African Republic				x		x				x <sup>5</sup>	
Chad				x		x				x <sup>5</sup>	
Chile	x			x							
China	x <sup>1,3</sup>	x	x		x						
Colombia			x	x	x			x			
Comoros				x		x				x <sup>5</sup>	
Congo				x		x				x <sup>5</sup>	
Cook Islands											
Costa Rica	x <sup>1</sup>			x	x						
Côte d'Ivoire				x		x				x <sup>5</sup>	

For detailed information on the status of each text, please consult the status table for that text, available at <https://uncitral.un.org/en/texts>.

1. Some states listed have also adopted the later amended version of the text.

2. Not yet in force.

3. Enacted in selected sub-national jurisdictions.

4. The Model Law forms the basis of or is reflected in the public procurement laws and regulations in the indicated States. These States have used the Model Law and accompanying Guide to Enactment in reforming their public procurement law and systems, though the extent to which the resulting regulatory framework incorporates the provisions of the Model Law varies, as that framework also reflects legal traditions, domestic policy and other objectives.

5. Some states have enacted a previous version of the Model Law.

6. The indicated States have used the Model Law and accompanying Guide to Enactment (and Interpretation) in reforming their cross-border insolvency law and systems, though the extent to which the resulting framework incorporates the provisions of the Model Law varies, as that framework also reflects legal traditions, domestic policy and other objectives.



### Overview of the status of UNCITRAL Conventions and Model Laws

x = ratification, accession or enactment

s = signature only

States	UNCITRAL Model Law on International Commercial Arbitration (1985), with amendments as adopted in 2006 <sup>1</sup>	UNCITRAL Model Law on Procurement of Goods, Construction and Services (1994)	UNCITRAL Model Law on Electronic Commerce (1996)	UNCITRAL Model Law on Cross-Border Insolvency (1997) <sup>6</sup>	UNCITRAL Model Law on Electronic Signatures (2001)	UNCITRAL Model Law on International Commercial Conciliation (2002) (see also 2018 amended version) <sup>1</sup>	UNCITRAL Model Law on Public Procurement (2011) <sup>4</sup>	UNCITRAL Model Law on Secured Transactions (2016)	UNCITRAL Model Law on Electronic Transferable Records (2017)	UNCITRAL Model Law on International Commercial Mediation and International Settlement Agreements Resulting from Mediation (2018)(amending 2002 version)	UNCITRAL model Law on the Use and Cross-Border Recognition of Identity Management and Trust Services (2022)
Croatia	x	x				x				x <sup>5</sup>	
Cuba											
Cyprus	x										
Czechia											
Democratic People's Republic of Korea											
Democratic Republic of the Congo				x		x				x <sup>5</sup>	
Denmark	x										
Djibouti											
Dominica			x								
Dominican Republic	x		x	x							
Ecuador			x								
Egypt	x						x				
El Salvador			x								
Equatorial Guinea				x		x				x <sup>5</sup>	
Eritrea											
Estonia	x	x									
Eswatini			x								
Ethiopia			x								
European Union											
Fiji	x <sup>1</sup>		x					x			
Finland											
France			x			x			x	x <sup>5</sup>	
Gabon				x		x				x <sup>5</sup>	
Gambia		x	x		x						
Georgia	x <sup>1</sup>	x									
Germany	x										
Ghana		x	x	x	x		x				
Greece	x <sup>1</sup>			x							

For detailed information on the status of each text, please consult the status table for that text, available at <https://uncitral.un.org/en/texts>.

1. Some states listed have also adopted the later amended version of the text.

2. Not yet in force.

3. Enacted in selected sub-national jurisdictions.

4. The Model Law forms the basis of or is reflected in the public procurement laws and regulations in the indicated States. These States have used the Model Law and accompanying Guide to Enactment in reforming their public procurement law and systems, though the extent to which the resulting regulatory framework incorporates the provisions of the Model Law varies, as that framework also reflects legal traditions, domestic policy and other objectives.

5. Some states have enacted a previous version of the Model Law.

6. The indicated States have used the Model Law and accompanying Guide to Enactment (and Interpretation) in reforming their cross-border insolvency law and systems, though the extent to which the resulting framework incorporates the provisions of the Model Law varies, as that framework also reflects legal traditions, domestic policy and other objectives.



States	UNCITRAL Model Law on International Commercial Arbitration (1985), with amendments as adopted in 2006 <sup>1</sup>	UNCITRAL Model Law on Procurement of Goods, Construction and Services (1994)	UNCITRAL Model Law on Electronic Commerce (1996)	UNCITRAL Model Law on Cross-Border Insolvency (1997) <sup>6</sup>	UNCITRAL Model Law on Electronic Signatures (2001)	UNCITRAL Model Law on International Commercial Conciliation (2002) (see also 2018 amended version) <sup>1</sup>	UNCITRAL Model Law on Public Procurement (2011) <sup>4</sup>	UNCITRAL Model Law on Secured Transactions (2016)	UNCITRAL Model Law on Electronic Transferable Records (2017)	UNCITRAL Model Law on International Commercial Mediation and International Settlement Agreements Resulting from Mediation (2018)(amending 2002 version)	UNCITRAL model Law on the Use and Cross-Border Recognition of Identity Management and Trust Services (2022)
Grenada			x		x						
Guatemala	x		x		x						
Guinea				x		x				x <sup>5</sup>	
Guinea-Bissau				x		x				x <sup>5</sup>	
Guyana	x	x	x								
Haiti			x								
Holy See											
Honduras	x		x		x	x				x <sup>5</sup>	
Hungary	x					x				x <sup>5</sup>	
Iceland											
India	x		x		x		x				
Indonesia											
Iran (Islamic Republic of)	x		x								
Iraq											
Ireland	x <sup>1</sup>		x								
Israel	x <sup>1</sup>			x							
Italy											
Jamaica	x <sup>1</sup>		x	x	x		x				
Japan	x <sup>1</sup>			x							
Jordan	x		x	x							
Kazakhstan		x					x				
Kenya	x	x		x			x	x			
Kiribati			x						x		
Kuwait			x								
Kyrgyzstan		x					x				
Lao People's Democratic Republic			x								
Latvia											
Lebanon							x				
Lesotho											

For detailed information on the status of each text, please consult the status table for that text, available at <https://uncitral.un.org/en/texts>.

1. Some states listed have also adopted the later amended version of the text.

2. Not yet in force.

3. Enacted in selected sub-national jurisdictions.

4. The Model Law forms the basis of or is reflected in the public procurement laws and regulations in the indicated States. These States have used the Model Law and accompanying Guide to Enactment in reforming their public procurement law and systems, though the extent to which the resulting regulatory framework incorporates the provisions of the Model Law varies, as that framework also reflects legal traditions, domestic policy and other objectives.

5. Some states have enacted a previous version of the Model Law.

6. The indicated States have used the Model Law and accompanying Guide to Enactment (and Interpretation) in reforming their cross-border insolvency law and systems, though the extent to which the resulting framework incorporates the provisions of the Model Law varies, as that framework also reflects legal traditions, domestic policy and other objectives.



States	UNCITRAL Model Law on International Commercial Arbitration (1985), with amendments as adopted in 2006 <sup>1</sup>	UNCITRAL Model Law on Procurement of Goods, Construction and Services (1994)	UNCITRAL Model Law on Electronic Commerce (1996)	UNCITRAL Model Law on Cross-Border Insolvency (1997) <sup>6</sup>	UNCITRAL Model Law on Electronic Signatures (2001)	UNCITRAL Model Law on International Commercial Conciliation (2002) (see also 2018 amended version) <sup>1</sup>	UNCITRAL Model Law on Public Procurement (2011) <sup>4</sup>	UNCITRAL Model Law on Secured Transactions (2016)	UNCITRAL Model Law on Electronic Transferable Records (2017)	UNCITRAL Model Law on International Commercial Mediation and International Settlement Agreements Resulting from Mediation (2018)(amending 2002 version)	UNCITRAL model Law on the Use and Cross-Border Recognition of Identity Management and Trust Services (2022)
Liberia			x								
Libya			x		x						
Liechtenstein	x										
Lithuania	x <sup>1</sup>										
Luxembourg						x				x <sup>5</sup>	
Madagascar	x	x	x		x						
Malawi	x <sup>1</sup>	x	x	x							
Malaysia	x <sup>1</sup>		x	x		x				x <sup>5</sup>	
Maldives	x		x		x						
Mali				x		x				x <sup>5</sup>	
Malta	x		x								
Marshall Islands	x <sup>1</sup>		x	x					x		
Mauritania											
Mauritius	x <sup>1</sup>	x	x	x					x		
Mexico	x		x	x	x		x				
Micronesia (Federated States of)											
Monaco											
Mongolia	x <sup>1</sup>	x					x				
Montenegro	x			x		x				x <sup>5</sup>	
Morocco				x							
Mozambique			x								
Myanmar	x			x			x				
Namibia			x								
Nauru											
Nepal		x									
Netherlands											
New Zealand	x <sup>1</sup>		x	x				x			
Nicaragua	x				x	x				x <sup>5</sup>	
Niger				x		x				x <sup>5</sup>	

For detailed information on the status of each text, please consult the status table for that text, available at <https://uncitral.un.org/en/texts>.

1. Some states listed have also adopted the later amended version of the text.

2. Not yet in force.

3. Enacted in selected sub-national jurisdictions.

4. The Model Law forms the basis of or is reflected in the public procurement laws and regulations in the indicated States. These States have used the Model Law and accompanying Guide to Enactment in reforming their public procurement law and systems, though the extent to which the resulting regulatory framework incorporates the provisions of the Model Law varies, as that framework also reflects legal traditions, domestic policy and other objectives.

5. Some states have enacted a previous version of the Model Law.

6. The indicated States have used the Model Law and accompanying Guide to Enactment (and Interpretation) in reforming their cross-border insolvency law and systems, though the extent to which the resulting framework incorporates the provisions of the Model Law varies, as that framework also reflects legal traditions, domestic policy and other objectives.



States	UNCITRAL Model Law on International Commercial Arbitration (1985), with amendments as adopted in 2006 <sup>1</sup>	UNCITRAL Model Law on Procurement of Goods, Construction and Services (1994)	UNCITRAL Model Law on Electronic Commerce (1996)	UNCITRAL Model Law on Cross-Border Insolvency (1997) <sup>6</sup>	UNCITRAL Model Law on Electronic Signatures (2001)	UNCITRAL Model Law on International Commercial Conciliation (2002) (see also 2018 amended version) <sup>1</sup>	UNCITRAL Model Law on Public Procurement (2011) <sup>4</sup>	UNCITRAL Model Law on Secured Transactions (2016)	UNCITRAL Model Law on Electronic Transferable Records (2017)	UNCITRAL Model Law on International Commercial Mediation and International Settlement Agreements Resulting from Mediation (2018)(amending 2002 version)	UNCITRAL model Law on the Use and Cross-Border Recognition of Identity Management and Trust Services (2022)
Nigeria	x <sup>1</sup>	x						x			
North Macedonia	x					x				x <sup>5</sup>	
Norway	x										
Oman	x		x		x						
Pakistan			x								
Palau											
Panama			x	x							
Papua New Guinea			x		x			x	x		
Paraguay	x		x		x				x		
Peru	x <sup>1</sup>				x						
Philippines	x		x	x				x			
Poland	x	x		x							
Portugal											
Qatar	x		x		x						
Republic of Korea	x <sup>1</sup>		x	x							
Republic of Moldova		x									
Romania		x		x							
Russian Federation	x						x				
Rwanda	x <sup>1</sup>	x	x	x	x		x				
Saint Kitts and Nevis			x		x						
Saint Lucia			x		x						
Saint Vincent and the Grenadines			x		x						
Samoa			x								
San Marino			x		x						
Sao Tome and Principe											
Saudi Arabia	x		x	x	x						
Senegal				x		x				x <sup>5</sup>	
Serbia	x			x							
Seychelles			x	x							

For detailed information on the status of each text, please consult the status table for that text, available at <https://uncitral.un.org/en/texts>.

1. Some states listed have also adopted the later amended version of the text.

2. Not yet in force.

3. Enacted in selected sub-national jurisdictions.

4. The Model Law forms the basis of or is reflected in the public procurement laws and regulations in the indicated States. These States have used the Model Law and accompanying Guide to Enactment in reforming their public procurement law and systems, though the extent to which the resulting regulatory framework incorporates the provisions of the Model Law varies, as that framework also reflects legal traditions, domestic policy and other objectives.

5. Some states have enacted a previous version of the Model Law.

6. The indicated States have used the Model Law and accompanying Guide to Enactment (and Interpretation) in reforming their cross-border insolvency law and systems, though the extent to which the resulting framework incorporates the provisions of the Model Law varies, as that framework also reflects legal traditions, domestic policy and other objectives.



States	UNCITRAL Model Law on International Commercial Arbitration (1985), with amendments as adopted in 2006 <sup>1</sup>	UNCITRAL Model Law on Procurement of Goods, Construction and Services (1994)	UNCITRAL Model Law on Electronic Commerce (1996)	UNCITRAL Model Law on Cross-Border Insolvency (1997) <sup>6</sup>	UNCITRAL Model Law on Electronic Signatures (2001)	UNCITRAL Model Law on International Commercial Conciliation (2002) (see also 2018 amended version) <sup>1</sup>	UNCITRAL Model Law on Public Procurement (2011) <sup>4</sup>	UNCITRAL Model Law on Secured Transactions (2016)	UNCITRAL Model Law on Electronic Transferable Records (2017)	UNCITRAL Model Law on International Commercial Mediation and International Settlement Agreements Resulting from Mediation (2018)(amending 2002 version)	UNCITRAL model Law on the Use and Cross-Border Recognition of Identity Management and Trust Services (2022)
Sierra Leone	x <sup>1</sup>		x								
Singapore	x		x	x					x		
Slovakia	x	x									
Slovenia	x <sup>1</sup>		x	x		x				x <sup>5</sup>	
Solomon Islands											
Somalia											
South Africa	x <sup>1</sup>		x	x							
South Sudan											
Spain	x										
Sri Lanka	x		x								
State of Palestine											
Sudan											
Suriname			x								
Swaziland											
Sweden											
Switzerland						x				x <sup>5</sup>	
Syrian Arab Republic			x								
Tajikistan							x				
Thailand	x		x		x		x				
Timor-Leste			x		x				x		
Togo			x	x		x				x <sup>5</sup>	
Tonga	x <sup>1</sup>										
Trinidad and Tobago	x <sup>1</sup>		x		x		x				
Tunisia	x						x				
Türkiye	x										
Turkmenistan	x <sup>1</sup>										
Tuvalu											
Uganda	x	x	x	x	x		x				
Ukraine	x						x				

For detailed information on the status of each text, please consult the status table for that text, available at <https://uncitral.un.org/en/texts>.

1. Some states listed have also adopted the later amended version of the text.

2. Not yet in force.

3. Enacted in selected sub-national jurisdictions.

4. The Model Law forms the basis of or is reflected in the public procurement laws and regulations in the indicated States. These States have used the Model Law and accompanying Guide to Enactment in reforming their public procurement law and systems, though the extent to which the resulting regulatory framework incorporates the provisions of the Model Law varies, as that framework also reflects legal traditions, domestic policy and other objectives.

5. Some states have enacted a previous version of the Model Law.

6. The indicated States have used the Model Law and accompanying Guide to Enactment (and Interpretation) in reforming their cross-border insolvency law and systems, though the extent to which the resulting framework incorporates the provisions of the Model Law varies, as that framework also reflects legal traditions, domestic policy and other objectives.





## Overview of the status of UNCITRAL Conventions and Model Laws

x = ratification, accession or enactment

s = signature only

States	UNCITRAL Model Law on International Commercial Arbitration (1985), with amendments as adopted in 2006 <sup>1</sup>	UNCITRAL Model Law on Procurement of Goods, Construction and Services (1994)	UNCITRAL Model Law on Electronic Commerce (1996)	UNCITRAL Model Law on Cross-Border Insolvency (1997) <sup>6</sup>	UNCITRAL Model Law on Electronic Signatures (2001)	UNCITRAL Model Law on International Commercial Conciliation (2002) (see also 2018 amended version) <sup>1</sup>	UNCITRAL Model Law on Public Procurement (2011) <sup>4</sup>	UNCITRAL Model Law on Secured Transactions (2016)	UNCITRAL Model Law on Electronic Transferable Records (2017)	UNCITRAL Model Law on International Commercial Mediation and International Settlement Agreements Resulting from Mediation (2018)(amending 2002 version)	UNCITRAL model Law on the Use and Cross-Border Recognition of Identity Management and Trust Services (2022)
United Arab Emirates	x <sup>3</sup>		x <sup>3</sup>	x <sup>3</sup>	x				x <sup>3</sup>		
United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland	x <sup>1,3</sup>		x <sup>3</sup>	x <sup>3</sup>	x <sup>3</sup>				x		
United Republic of Tanzania		x	x				x				
United States of America	x <sup>1,3</sup>		x <sup>3</sup>	x		x <sup>1,3</sup>				x <sup>3,5</sup>	
Uruguay	x										
Uzbekistan	x <sup>1</sup>	x					x				
Vanuatu			x	x							
Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of)	x		x								
Viet Nam			x		x						
Yemen											
Zambia	x	x	x		x		x				
Zimbabwe	x			x				x			

For detailed information on the status of each text, please consult the status table for that text, available at <https://uncitral.un.org/en/texts>.

1. Some states listed have also adopted the later amended version of the text.

2. Not yet in force.

3. Enacted in selected sub-national jurisdictions.

4. The Model Law forms the basis of or is reflected in the public procurement laws and regulations in the indicated States. These States have used the Model Law and accompanying Guide to Enactment in reforming their public procurement law and systems, though the extent to which the resulting regulatory framework incorporates the provisions of the Model Law varies, as that framework also reflects legal traditions, domestic policy and other objectives.

5. Some states have enacted a previous version of the Model Law.

6. The indicated States have used the Model Law and accompanying Guide to Enactment (and Interpretation) in reforming their cross-border insolvency law and systems, though the extent to which the resulting framework incorporates the provisions of the Model Law varies, as that framework also reflects legal traditions, domestic policy and other objectives.